

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		
		TIKST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,594	07/07/2003	Oliver Berger	HOE-765	8283
·	7590 08/23/2004		EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICE OF BARRY R LIPSITZ 755 MAIN STREET			CHAUDHRY, SAEED T	
MONROE, CT 06468			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1			
Office Action Summary	10/615,594	BERGER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication	Saeed T Chaudhry	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D. (35.U.S.C. 8.133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<i>,</i> _•					
l	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the di Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	pted or b) objected to by the E rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicatio y documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-21-03. 	4) Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pat 6) Other:	e				

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. § 119, which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 provides for the use of a vehicle washing facility having cleaning tools and a checking device, but since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example Ex parte Dunki, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Further, claim 1 is incomplete and confusing in the recitation of "whether the length of the vehicle exceeds a predetermined maximum value" since it is not disclose what will be the process step if the length of the vehicle does not exceed the predetermined length.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-23 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,594

Art Unit: 1746

Reasons For Allowable Subject Matter

The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

None of the prior art discloses or suggests a method for cleaning a vehicle, wherein front or rear of the vehicle is cleaned with one of first or second cleaning tool upon the length of the vehicle exceeds from the predetermined maximum value.

The closest cited prior art Detrick (4,893,229) discloses a method of monitoring the length of the vehicle and when the length exceeds a predetermined value, generating a violation signal but fails to use only one tool for cleaning the front or rear of the vehicle.

The Prior art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Zamensky et al (6,325,863) disclose a track having a washing member mounted on the track, which is movable in a longitudinal direction along the length of a vehicle to accommodate vehicles of different lengths.

Huebner et al (3,658,590) disclose an apparatus in which the vehicle remains stationary, and a spray bar travels back and forth over the vehicle along a path, the length of which is automatically adjusted to the length of the vehicle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saeed T. Chaudhry whose telephone number is (571) 272-1298. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Michael Barr, can be reached on (571)-272-1414. The fax phone number for non-final is (703)-872-9306.

When filing a FAX in Gp 1700, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communication with the PTO that are for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Saeed T. Chaudhry
Patent Examiner

MICHAEL BARR PRIMARY EXAMPER